9th December 1952] [Mr. Speaker]

motion to me and I explained to them that a similar motion was ruled out last time and that a motion which had been ruled out could not be brought again in a different language. If for argument's sake it is conceded that this is a different motion, the present motion reads: 'The grave situation caused by the failure of the Government to take effective steps . . .'. I have already told the House that this is a matter to be dealt with by the Centre. But I respect the feeling and honesty of the hon. Member, Sri T. Nagi Reddi, because he told me that he brought forward this motion as he honestly felt that this Government were responsible for the failure in not giving an Andhra Province and not for staging a walk-out. However, as I have already explained fully, I rule this adjournment motion out of order."

* SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—"On a point of personal explanation, Sir. When we discussed the question of this adjournment motion with you, we certainly told you about our attitude when you asked us, 'Is this adjournment motion for staging a walk-out?'; we mean no disrespect to the Chair or to the ruling given by the Chair. But some of us very strongly feel that the Government of Madras could have done something. I am not questioning the ruling given by you, Sir. But I just want to say, as a mark of protest against the attitude of the Government, some of us will walk out of this House for a few minutes."

Then, some Members led by Sri P. Ramamurthi walked out of the House.

III.—MESSAGE FROM THE COUNCIL.

MR. SPEAKER :—"I have to announce to the House that I have received the following message, dated the 9th December 1952, from the Hon. the Chairman, Madras Legislative Council :—

'I transmit a copy of the Tanjore Tenants and Pannaiyal Protection Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 12 of 1952), as agreed to by the Council without any amendment and signed by me.' "

IV.—GOVERNMENT BILL.

THE MADRAS MOTOR VEHICLES (TAXATION OF PASSENGERS AND GOODS) BILL, 1952—*cont.*

Clause 3—cont.

MR. SPEAKER :—"We shall now resume the discussion on clause 3 of the Bill."

* SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—"May I submit, Sir, that in a similar case in 1925, if I remember correct, when about 44 Members of the then Swaraj Party walked out of the Central Assembly, the then President, Sri Vithalbhai Patel, said that he would not proceed further with the business of the House when a good number